

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR   | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/928,192   | 08/10/2001  | Shell Sterling Simpson | 10007680-1          | 6999             |  |
| 7590 03/27/2007 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400 |             |                        | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|  |             |                        | NANO, SARGON N      |                  |  |
|  |             |                        | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| ŕ  |             |                        | 2157                |                  |  |
|  |             | ,                      |                     |                  |  |
|  |             |                        | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|  |             | •                      | 03/27/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)            |
|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 09/928,192      | SIMPSON, SHELL STERLING |
| Examiner        | Art Unit                |
| Sargon N. Nano  | 2157                    |

|  | Sargon N. Nano  | 2157   |                                |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | correspondence add                               | ress                           |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 15/107 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in                                      | fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3) |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI | g date of the final rejecti                      | on.                            |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed<br/><u>AMENDMENTS</u></li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th                            |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  | nsideration and/or search (see NO   |  | ecause                         |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet<br/>appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a</li> </ul>   |   |  | the issues for                 |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |   | empliant Amendment                               | (PTOL-324).                    |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al</li> </ul>  |   | timely filed amendme                             | ent canceling the              |  |  |  |  |
| non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed: NONE.  Claim(s) objected to: NONE.  Claim(s) rejected: 7 - 37.  |   | II be entered and an e                           | explanation of                 |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | d sufficient reasons why the affidat  | vit or other evidence is                         | necessary and                  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S                                  | al and/or appellant fai<br>ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(   | ls to provide a                |  |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attach                          | ied. '                         |  |  |  |  |
| 11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  See Continuation Sheet.  |   | n condition for allowar                          | nce because:                   |  |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:   |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |  |                                |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argue in sustance that A) Yacoub does not disclose which port of the network switch a computing device coupled to and how physically distant the identified device is to the computing device.

In response to A) Yacoub discloses that a server stores/generates physical location of the clients machines as well as the physical distance of the printers and to choose the nearest printer to a client in case a print job was demanded by the cleint, moreover each printer inherently coupled to a printer port (i.e LPT1) which is a physical port (see 0042)

YVES DALENCOURT
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100